



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/625,300

07/22/2003

Nicholas Atkins

ATKN101CIP

7878

21658 7590 05/02/2008  
DYKAS, SHAVER & NIPPER, LLP  
P.O. BOX 877  
BOISE, ID 83701-0877

EXAMINER

NGUYEN, TUAN N

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3751

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

05/02/2008

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/625,300	<b>Applicant(s)</b> ATKINS ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Tuan N. Nguyen	<b>Art Unit</b> 3751	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Tuan N. Nguyen.

(3) Bryn Williams.

(2) Stephen Nipper.

(4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 01 May 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic    b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant    2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes    e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Atkins.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached.    g) ☒ was not reached.    h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The applicant describes how the instant invention functions differently from that of Atkins; however, it is the examiner's position that the claims fails to include the specific structure to support the function as worded. Since the structure of Atkins is somewhat similar to the elected embodiment of the instant application and that Atkins further suggest the function as claimed, the applicant's argument is not persuasive and the rejection is maintained.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Tuan Nguyen/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3751

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required